

THE PROBLEM

- University of Arkansas (UA) emitted 171,585 metric tons of carbon dioxide (MTCO2e) into the atmosphere in 2011.
- Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from human activity contribute to Earth's climatic warming trend, changing our climate and weather, raising sea levels, and as a worst case scenario, potentially transforming Earth from a host to hostile planet.
- Fossil fuel consumption is the main source of human derived GHG emissions, yet the environmental burden can be minimized by using conservation practices and alternative energy sources.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- UA signed the American College and University Presidents' Climate Commitment (ACUPCC) in 2007 and intends to be climate neutral, with a net zero sum of GHG emissions from campus activity, by 2040.
- UA's Office for Campus Sustainability (UAOCS) drafted the GHG Emission Reduction Plan (GHGERP) which outlines 25 proposed projects and quantifies GHG emission reductions and cost estimates for each project.

THE PROJECT

- This sustainability capstone project improved the GHGERP by updating proposed project data and assumptions while also turning the old static report into a dynamic economic analysis tool.
- The new GHGERP can be quickly updated in Excel to keep all 25 project proposals and their associated calculations up to date and valid.
- Additional economic calculations like payback period have been added to determine projects' economic viability alongside the GHG emission reduction, initial cost, emissions avoided per \$ spent, and net present value calculations.
- Most projects accrue savings from energy conservation and efficiency measures which can actually finance the initial cost of the energy conservation project.
- Projects that offer a short payback period are more likely to be approved by UA as the project is economically viable as an investment even if the GHG emission reductions are discounted.
- Individual project descriptions can be seen in the table below and project calculations are shown in the tables to the right.

GHG emission reduction project descriptions (01 – 13)

Project 01 improves power management for IT systems campus wide. Software would manage comp Project 02 implements a building energy use policy that establishes uniform temperature set points an Project 03 installs a combined heat & power (CHP) cogeneration system to produce electricity and he Project 04, initiated by Chartwells in 2008, reduces food waste simply by removing trays from the dir Project 05 installs Lucid building energy dashboards in the 20 residence halls on campus. Research Project 06 increases the number of bike loops on campus from approximately 1,000 to 1,500 at a cost Project 07 consists of 3 existing energy savings performance contracts (ESPCs) which guarantee ener Project 08 consists of energy savings performance contracts (ESPCs)for Arkansas Union, Housing, ar Project 09 increases campus recycled material from 430 tons per year to 500 tons per year. (Paper, car Project 10 installs a composting tub for dining hall food waste and allocates a part time worker to coll Project 11 began production in January 2009 and is processing waste vegetable oil (WVO) from the 4 Project 12 installs 25 kW capacity of wind power on campus. Project 13 installs 25 kW capacity of solar power on campus.

UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS'S GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION REDUCTION PLAN

David Bednar

Department of Mechanical Engineering Foundations of Sustainability Minor

PROJECT ANALYSIS

GHG emissions avoided per year (MT CO_e/vr)

|) | 5,0 | 000 | 10, | 000 | 15, | 000 | 20, | 000 | 25,00 | 0 30 | ,000 | 35,00 | 00 | 40,0 | 000 | | |
|------|--------|----------------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|--------|---------|---------|------|-------|----|--------|------|---|-----------|
| | 2.32 | 28.43 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | project C |
| g | 949.63 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | projec |
| | | | | | | | | 2 | 0,684.1 | 8 | | | | | | | projec |
| 69.8 | 89 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,424 | .45 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | proje |
| 46.6 | 67 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 23 | ,503.15 | į | | | | | | project |
| | | 5,4 | 454.0 | 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | proj |
| 20 | 07.64 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.00 | D | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | þ |
| 32.7 | 72 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | proj |
| 26.5 | 50 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | p |
| 27.6 | 65 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | project |
| | | 3 <i>,</i> 660 | .00 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 9,15 | 7.29 | | | | | | | | | | | р | roject 15 |
| | | | | | | | | 18,081 | .06 | | | | | | | | proje |
| -6.1 | .8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | þ |
| 46.6 | 67 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | pro |
| 141 | .55 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | pro |
| | | | 8, | 027.5 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | proje |
| 27 | 6.55 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | project |
| | | | | | | | | 18,99 | 2.69 | | | | | | | | projec |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 36,600 | 0.00 | | project |
| | | | | | | 14,2 | 44.51 | Ļ | | | | | | | | | project |
| _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | projec |

project 01: computer power managem project 02: campus building energy use pol project 03: district energy cogenerat project 04: trayless din project 05: building energy dashbo project 06: bicycle park project 07: ESPCs (educational & general buildin project 08: ESPCs (auxiliary buildir project 09: increased recycl project 10: food waste to comp project 11: campus WVO to biodie project 12: on-campus wind power generat project 13: on-campus photovoltaic ar project 14: forest sequestrat project 15: offsets for commercial air tra project 16: offsets for commuter tra project 17: waste oil to space h project 18: bicycle parking (phase project 19: convert buses to run C project 20: clean energy from SWEP project 21: on-campus photovoltaic array (phase project 22: clean energy from area wind far project 23: forest sequestration (phase project 24: renewable energy certification project 25: parking & carpool incentiv

| omission reduction project | initial | annual | net present | MT CO ₂ e | \$/MT CO ₂ e | payback | % towards CO ₂ e | etatue** |
|---|--------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|----------|
| campus policies. | - | | | | | | | <u>-</u> |
| project 01: computer power management | \$75,000 | - \$0 | \$5 881 026 | - 2 328 43 | 84 19 | - 0.374 | - 1 33% | C |
| project 02: campus building energy use policy | \$75,000 | \$0 \$0 | \$2,354,125 | 949 63 | -82.63 | 0.918 | 0.54% | C |
| project 25: parking & carpool incentives | - - | φ υ | φ 2 ,33 4 ,1 2 3 | - | - | - | - | b |
| conservation and efficiency: | _ | _ | _ | - | _ | - | _ | - |
| project 03: district energy cogeneration | \$12.828.000 | \$450.732 | \$3.724.858 | 20.684.18 | -6.00 | 13.819 | 11.78% | С |
| project 04: trav less dining | \$0 | \$0 | \$421.393 | 69.89 | -200.98 | 0.000 | 0.04% | a |
| project 05: building energy dashboard | \$40.000 | \$4.000 | \$3.483.687 | 1.424.45 | -81.52 | 0.326 | 0.81% | c |
| project 06: bicycle parking | \$150,000 | \$0 | \$699.431 | 46.67 | -499.56 | N/A | 0.03% | С |
| project 07: ESPCs (educational & general buildings) | \$42,000,000 | \$0 | \$18,120,074 | 23,503.15 | -25.70 | 16.019 | 13.39% | а |
| project 08: ESPCs (auxiliary buildings) | \$9,700,000 | \$0 | \$4,251,309 | 5,454.08 | -25.98 | 15.959 | 3.11% | а |
| project 09: increased recycling | \$100,000 | \$28,000 | -\$482,151 | 207.64 | 77.40 | #N/A | 0.12% | а |
| project 10: food waste to compost | \$8,000 | \$10,000 | -\$209,890 | 3.00 | 2332.11 | #N/A | 0.00% | b |
| project 17: waste oil to space heat | \$12,500 | \$0 | \$126,020 | -6.18 | N/A | 3.827 | 0.00% | а |
| project 18: bicycle parking (phase 2) | \$250,000 | \$0 | \$599,431 | 46.67 | -428.14 | N/A | 0.03% | С |
| project 19: convert buses to run CNG | \$1,850,000 | \$27,500 | \$3,651,433 | 141.55 | -859.85 | 12.393 | 0.08% | с |
| renewable energy: | - | - | - | - | - | - | _ | - |
| project 11: campus WVO to biodiesel | \$20,000 | \$3,000 | \$452,945 | 32.72 | -461.44 | 2.333 | 0.02% | а |
| project 12: on-campus wind power generation | \$26,164 | \$0 | \$41,611 | 26.50 | -52.35 | 9.868 | 0.02% | с |
| project 13: on-campus photovoltaic array | \$23,845 | \$0 | \$46,895 | 27.65 | -56.52 | 8.780 | 0.02% | с |
| project 20: clean energy from SWEPCO | \$0 | \$62,845 | -\$1,931,341 | 8,027.56 | 8.02 | #N/A | 4.57% | e |
| project 21: on-campus photovoltaic array (phase 2) | \$425,477 | \$0 | \$281,926 | 276.55 | -33.98 | 14.235 | 0.16% | С |
| project 22: clean energy from area wind farms | \$0 | \$377,070 | -\$11,312,087 | 18,992.69 | 19.85 | #N/A | 10.82% | С |
| sequestration: | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| project 14: forest sequestration | \$1,110,000 | \$0 | \$1,001,566 | 3,660.00 | -9.12 | 12.044 | 2.08% | С |
| project 23: forest sequestration (phase 2) | \$11,100,000 | \$0 | \$10,015,659 | 36,600.00 | -9.12 | 12.044 | 20.84% | с |
| purchase offsets: | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| project 15: offsets for commercial air travel | \$0 | \$91,573 | -\$2,747,188 | 9,157.29 | 10.00 | #N/A | 5.22% | С |
| project 16: offsets for commuter travel | \$0 | \$180,811 | -\$5,424,317 | 18,081.06 | 10.00 | #N/A | 10.30% | С |
| project 24: renewable energy certificates | \$0 | \$188,535 | -\$5,656,043 | 14,244.51 | 13.24 | #N/A | 8.11% | С |
| totals: | \$79,793,986 | \$1,424,065 | \$27,390,371 | 163,979.70 | | | 93% | |

| project descriptions (01 – 13) | |
|---|-----|
| uter servers, printers, monitors, and other components. | Pro |
| nd building use times for all general and educational use buildings. | Pro |
| eat for district energy use on campus. | Pro |
| ning halls. | Pro |
| shows dashboards make residents aware of energy usage and is effective in promoting conservation. | Pro |
| t of \$100 per bike loop, and adds an additional covered bike shelter at a cost of \$100,000. | Pro |
| rgy savings through building energy conservation and efficiency measures. | Pro |
| nd Athletics which guarantee energy savings through building energy conservation and efficiency measures. | Pro |
| rdboard, cans, & bottles) | Pro |
| lect food waste and maintain the tub. | Pro |
| 4 dining halls into biodiesel to be used by university. | Pro |
| | Pro |
| | |

payback period of GHG emission reduction projects (yr) mputer power management 🛛 💻 0.374 campus building energy use... **6** 0.918 district energy cogeneration project 04: trayless dining 0.000 5: building energy dashboard 📕 0.326 project 06: bicycle parking N/A ESPCs (educational & general. 08: ESPCs (auxiliary buildings) roject 09: increased recycling N/A ct 10: food waste to compost N/A 1: campus WVO to biodiesel t 12: on-campus wind power n-campus photovoltaic arrav piect 14[,] forest sequestration N/A sets for commercial air travel offsets for commuter travel N/A ct 17: waste oil to space heat 18: bicycle parking (phase 2) N/A 9: convert buses to run CNG clean energy from SWEPCO n-campus photovoltaic arra clean energy from area wind... orest sequestration (phase 2) enewable energy certificates parking & carpool incentives *N/A: project does not realize a payback period in 30 year project lifetime.

• Even if every single project in the GHGERP was implemented, UA's campus would still be a net emitter of GHGs as only 93% of current emissions would have been eliminated or sequestered.

tus ··. a - approved, funded, and underway, b - approved, funding pending, c - approval pending, d - proposed, detaned research pending, e - external decision process

GHG emission reduction project descriptions (14 – 25)

oject 14 is to purchase a pine forest and sustainably manage it to sequester and offset campus GHG emissions.

oject 15 introduces a direct pay-as-you-go payment by every department in the university that will offset GHG emissions for about 10 per MT CO₂e. oject 16 introduces a direct payment for the university to offset GHG emissions caused by commuter travel for about \$10 per MT CO₂e. oject 17 burns used motor oil for space heat in the Bus Barn.

roject 18 increases the number of bike loops on campus from approximately 1,500 to 2,000 at a cost of \$100 per bike loop, and adds an additional covered bike shelter at a cost of \$200,000. oject 19 replaces 10 buses of the Razorback Transit fleet with new compressed natural gas (CNG) buses costing \$110,000 each. Initial cost also includes \$750,000 towards a CNG fueling station. oject 20 purchases clean energy from SWEPCO at an additional cost over fossil fuel derived power. oject 21 installs 250 kW capacity of solar power on campus.

oject 22 purchases electricity from area wind farms at \$.015/kWh more than electricity from SWEPCO.

oject 23 is to purchase a pine forest and sustainably manage it to sequester and offset campus GHG emissions.

oject 24 purchases renewable energy certificates (RECs) to offset GHG emissions and support regional projects that implement clean energy production. oject 25 will consider a wide range of parking and carpool incentives to reduce emissions from commuter travel.



RESULTS

Project 01, 02, and 05 are low cost, quick payback projects with a combined GHG emission reduction of 4,700 MTCO₂e per year. • After payback, in less than one year, the reduction in electricity would save UA \$390,000 on average per year.

• UA has already undertaken Projects 07 and 08. These energy saving performance contracts (ESPCs) which cost \$52 million, save 29,000 MTCO₂e per year, and will save \$740,000 on average per year after the payback period has been realized.

• Project 03, although significant at \$13 million, is less than the ESPCs while offering a comparable payback period and emission reductions of 20,600 MTCO₂e per year.

• Forest sequestration is attractive as well, but this project assumes that emission offsets are being considered and would otherwise be purchased and therefore savings would be realized.

SUSTAINABILITY

is capstone project shows that these different projects in the IGERP, focusing on GHG emission reductions, also make momic sense if you consider expenses and savings over the time of the project. This ties together the built and managed tems of sustainability as newer power generation and building hnologies allow for cleaner energy to start with, then a more icient use of that energy, which if accounted for over the project's time shows positive economic returns.

natural systems of sustainability are a major factor in this ject as the main goal of the project is to reduce GHG emissions to ate a climate neutral campus.

social systems of sustainability are also pertinent because versities offer a stage where projects like these can resonate and w, reaching out and informing other groups about what is possible what is economically viable.

COMMENTS

fore I started this project I was under the impression that tainable projects, or green/environmental/restoration projects were pensive but they were undertaken because of environmental wardship.

w realize that many projects can be good investments when just king at the economics as long as you consider the flux of ments over the life of the project.

w give consideration to the environmental and social aspects of se projects and the triple bottom line looks even more attractive n the economics alone.